

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,801	06/18/2001		Niraj Gopal	CISCO-3666 4735	
7	590	11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
David B. Ritc	hie		DENNISON, JERRY B		
Thelen Reid & P.O. Box 6406		LP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA				2143	
				DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No	Applicant(s)						
				\mathcal{Q}					
Office Action Summary	09/884,80		GOPAL, NIRAJ						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit						
	J. Bret De		2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state od will apply and witute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reputer, may a reputer minimum of thirty III expire SIX (6) MONT lication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.					
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>June 2001</u> .								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•					
<u> </u>			4404) (1)						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
dee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certi	ned copies not i	eceivea.						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)	/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/tipe Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			 -						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	041018					

Application/Control Number: 09/884,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Application Number 09/884,801 received on 18 June 2001.

2. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willie et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,052,724) in view of Wolf et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,278,694).

3. Regarding claims 1-3, Willie discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon computer-executable instructions for performing a method for detecting data modifications in MIB tables, the method comprising:

selecting a MIB table that has been modified (Willie, col. 8, lines 1-7);

determining whether the most recent modification is more recent then a recorded time indicating the last time that a poll was performed (Willie, col. 8, lines 35-41).

Willie also discloses containing counters and other various variables used by the directory service to maintain the status of the portion of the distributed directory on the managed server (Willie, col. 7, lines 60-67) and he also discloses the directory service

maintaining an event system where traps are generated upon the occurrence of an event and is communicated to the management station when polling takes place (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-40).

Page 3

However, Willie does not explicitly state when the most recent modification is more recent, retrieving a count of the number of modifications that have been performed on the selected table; and

determining whether the count can be resolved and when the count can not be resolved, retrieving at least one modified row for the selected table.

In an analogous art, Wolf teaches a method for collecting and reporting monitoring data for network probes where a network manager periodically polls remote probes for monitoring data, and the network manager receives messages containing counter values for the number of changes during a sampling time and using the counter values to retrieve data if applicable (Wolf, col. 5, lines 10-40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the network monitoring of Wolf into Willie for the benefit of calculating modifications of data observed by the network manager and combining data with the modifications made by the network manager to obtain a complete set of modifications over sampling times (Wolf, col. 1, lines 40-45).

4. Regarding claims 4, 7, 10, 13, and 15, Willie discloses a method for initializing a system for detecting data modifications in MIB tables, the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/884,801

Art Unit: 2143

identifying at least one unregistered MIB table to be monitored for modifications (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-45);

registering the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67);

initializing a count of the number of modifications that have been performed on the identified table (Willie, col. 7, lines 60-67);

However, Willie does not explicitly disclose initializing a timestamp as to when a last modification was performed on the identified table. In an analogous art of networking, Wolf discloses a method for collecting network data over sampling times (Wolf, col. 3, lines 40-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the network monitoring of Wolf into Willie for the benefit of calculating modifications of data observed by the network manager and combining data with the modifications made by the network manager to obtain a complete set of modifications over sampling times (Wolf, col. 1, lines 40-45).

5. Regarding claims 5, 8, 11, Willie and Wolf disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 4, 7, and 10, including

determining whether an observation of change notifications is desired or required for the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67); and when the observation of change notifications is desired or required, enabling observation for the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67). See motivation for claim 4.

Art Unit: 2143

6. Regarding claim 6, 9, 12, 14, and 16, Willie and Wolf disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 4, 7, 10, 13, and 15, including registering a callback function for each registered table (Willie, col. 8, lines 35-45). See motivation for claim 4.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571)272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Bret Dennison Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143